

Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Gripenberg-Gahmberg M. Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [CD-ROM]. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd.; 2006 May 24 [various].

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version Gripenberg-Gahmberg M. Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [CD-ROM]. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd.; 2004 Aug 12 [various]. [11 references]

** REGULATORY ALERT **

FDA WARNING/REGULATORY ALERT

Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse: This guideline references a drug(s) for which important revised regulatory information has been released.

On April 7, 2005, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) asked manufacturers of non-prescription (over the counter [OTC]) non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) to revise their labeling to include more specific information about potential gastrointestinal (GI) and cardiovascular (CV) risks, and information to assist consumers in the safe use of the drugs. See the [FDA Web site](#) for more information.

Subsequently, on June 15, 2005, the FDA requested that sponsors of all NSAIDs make labeling changes to their products. FDA recommended proposed labeling for both the prescription and OTC NSAIDs and a medication guide for the entire class of prescription products. See the [FDA Web site](#) for more information.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

** REGULATORY ALERT **

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis

RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Diagnosis
Treatment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Family Practice
Internal Medicine
Rheumatology

INTENDED USERS

Health Care Providers
Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines collects, summarizes, and updates the core clinical knowledge essential in general practice. The guidelines also describe the scientific evidence underlying the given recommendations.

TARGET POPULATION

Patients with or suspected to have systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Diagnosis

1. Assessment of clinical features
2. Laboratory evaluation (blood count, platelets, sedimentation rate, anti-nuclear antibodies, dipstick test of the urine and urinalysis)
3. American Rheumatism Association (ARA) classification
4. Referral to a specialist, as indicated, for evaluation

Treatment

1. Individualized treatment depending on the manifestations and activity of the disease
2. Patient education: avoidance of sunbathing, use of sunscreens
3. Pharmacologic therapy (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, hydroxychloroquine, corticosteroids, immunosuppressive drugs [e.g. azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate])
4. Other drugs as indicated, such as antihypertensive treatment
5. Treatment of discoid lupus with fluocinonide cream, hydrocortisone cream, hydroxychloroquine, or acitretin (considered, but not specifically recommended)
6. Referral to nephrologist for signs of renal manifestations

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Risk of relapse
- Risk for mortality
- Risk for end-stage renal disease
- Degree of clearing/improvement of discoid lupus
- Lupus signs and symptoms

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources)
 Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources)
 Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

The evidence reviewed was collected from the Cochrane database of systematic reviews and the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE). In addition, the Cochrane Library and medical journals were searched specifically for original publications.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Levels of Evidence

- A. Strong research-based evidence. Multiple relevant, high-quality scientific studies with homogeneous results.

- B. Moderate research-based evidence. At least one relevant, high-quality study or multiple adequate studies.
- C. Limited research-based evidence. At least one adequate scientific study.
- D. No research-based evidence. Expert panel evaluation of other information.

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses
Systematic Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Not stated

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The levels of evidence [A-D] supporting the recommendations are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Definition

- Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a syndrome characterized by clinical diversity, changes in the disease activity over time, and by aberrant immunological findings.

Epidemiology

- The prevalence of SLE worldwide is 4 to 250 per 100,000. The incidence is most frequent in women aged 15 to 25 years.

Clinical Presentation

- The clinical presentation varies between different patients, and in a single patient the disease activity varies over time.
- General symptoms such as fatigue and fever are common.
- A vast majority of the patients have arthralgia, mostly of the hands.
- About one-half of the patients have cutaneous features, such as butterfly rash and discoid lupus as well as photosensitivity.
- About one-third of the patients have oral ulcerations.
- About 50% of the patients have nephropathy, which varies from mild proteinuria and microscopical hematuria to end-stage renal failure.
- About 20 to 40% of the patients have pleurisy. Acute pneumonitis and chronic fibrotising alveolitis are relatively rare.
- Pericarditis is somewhat more uncommon than pleuritis. T-wave changes in the electrocardiogram (ECG) are usual.
- Depression and headache are the most common of the neuropsychiatric symptoms. Generalized tonic-clonic seizures and organic psychoses are rare. Peripheral neuropathy is observed in about 10% of the patients and as many patients get a thromboembolic or haemorrhagic complication of the brain.
- The lymph nodes may enlarge especially when the disease is active.
- There is a risk of first and second trimester foetal losses and of premature birth.

Laboratory Findings

- Laboratory findings are diverse.
- Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) is usually elevated; the C-reactive protein (CRP) value is often normal.
- Mild or moderate anaemia is common. A clear-cut haemolytic anaemia is seen in less than 10% of the patients.
- Leucocytopenia (lymphocytopenia)
- Mild thrombocytopenia
- Antinuclear antibodies are found in over 90% of the patients.
- Anti-deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) antibodies (in 50 to 90% of the patients)
- Polyclonal hypergammaglobulinaemia
- Decreased complement values (C3 and C4)
- Antiphospholipid antibodies
- Proteinuria, microscopic hematuria, decreased creatinine clearance

Diagnosis

- There is no single symptom or finding that is sufficient in itself for making the diagnosis.
- When SLE is suspected the basic laboratory investigations are:
 - Blood count
 - Platelets
 - Erythrocyte sedimentation rate
 - Anti-nuclear antibodies
 - Dipstick test of the urine and urinalysis

- The diagnosis is based on the clinical symptoms and the laboratory findings and on the American Rheumatism Association (ARA) classification criteria (1982).
- The patient should be referred to a specialist for confirmation of the diagnosis.

Treatment

- The treatment is always individual and depends on the manifestations and activity of the disease. There is no need for treatment solely on the basis of the immunological findings.
- The patients should be encouraged to restrain from sunbathing and to use sunscreens.
- The most important drugs are:
 - Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
 - Hydroxychloroquine ("A randomized study of the effect," 1991; Wallace, 1994) [C]
 - Corticosteroids
 - Immunosuppressive drugs (e.g., azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate)
- Hydroxychloroquine and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are used in the treatment of mild symptoms such as cutaneous manifestations and arthralgia. When the response is insufficient or when the patient has fatigue or fever, a low dose of corticosteroids (prednisolone 5 to 7.5 mg/day) can be added.
- In the treatment of pleuritis or pericarditis, larger amounts of corticosteroids (about 30 mg prednisolone per day) are used.
- In the treatment of severe central nervous system (CNS) symptoms and of severe glomerulonephritis, thrombocytopenia, and haemolytic anaemia, large corticosteroid doses and other immunosuppressive drugs are used (Bansal & Beto, 1997; Flanc et al., 2004) [A].
- The differential diagnosis between an infection and a flare of the SLE is of utmost importance.
- Other drugs that the patient might need, such as antihypertensive treatment, should be remembered.
- If there are signs of renal manifestations, the patient should be referred to a nephrologist for a renal biopsy.
- The patients are often allergic to a variety of antibiotics, especially sulfonamides.

Primary Antiphospholipid Syndrome

- A syndrome manifesting as recurrent venous or arterial thrombotic events, recurrent miscarriages, thrombocytopenia, and antiphospholipid antibodies, but without other features of SLE

Related Evidence

- Fluocinonide cream is more effective than hydrocortisone for discoid lupus erythematosus (Jessop, Whitelaw, & Jordaan, 2002) [C]. Hydroxychloroquine and acitretin are as effective.

Definitions:

Levels of Evidence

- A. Strong research-based evidence. Multiple relevant, high-quality scientific studies with homogeneous results.
- B. Moderate research-based evidence. At least one relevant, high-quality study or multiple adequate studies.
- C. Limited research-based evidence. At least one adequate scientific study.
- D. No research-based evidence. Expert panel evaluation of other information.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

REFERENCES SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

[References open in a new window](#)

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Concise summaries of scientific evidence attached to the individual guidelines are the unique feature of the Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines. The evidence summaries allow the clinician to judge how well-founded the treatment recommendations are. The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for select recommendations (see the "Major Recommendations" field).

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Effective and safe treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)
- Appropriate specialist referral

POTENTIAL HARMS

Risk of Relapse

Discontinuing hydroxychloroquine medication in stable systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) increases the risk of relapse.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better
Living with Illness

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness
Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Gripenberg-Gahmberg M. Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [CD-ROM]. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd.; 2006 May 24 [various].

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2001 Apr 30 (revised 2006 May 24)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Finnish Medical Society Duodecim - Professional Association

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

Finnish Medical Society Duodecim

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Editorial Team of EBM Guidelines

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Primary Author: Marianne Gripenberg-Gahmberg

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version Gripenberg-Gahmberg M. Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [CD-ROM]. Helsinki, Finland: Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd.; 2004 Aug 12 [various]. [11 references]

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

This guideline is included in a CD-ROM titled "EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine" available from Duodecim Medical Publications, Ltd, PO Box 713, 00101 Helsinki, Finland; e-mail: info@ebm-guidelines.com; Web site: www.ebm-guidelines.com.

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

None available

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This summary was completed by ECRI on December 17, 2002. The information was verified by the guideline developer as of February 7, 2003. This NGC summary was updated by ECRI on October 4, 2004. This summary was updated on May 3, 2005 following the withdrawal of Bextra (valdecoxib) from the market and the release of heightened warnings for Celebrex (celecoxib) and other nonselective nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). This summary was updated by ECRI on June 16, 2005, following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on COX-2 selective and non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). This NGC summary was updated by ECRI on August 7, 2006.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2006 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 10/9/2006

